WINSELSPITAL

The Swiss Video-Intubation Trial (SWIVIT). Evaluation of six video-laryngoscopes in 720 patients with a created difficult airway: first results

R. Greif, M. Kotarlic, P. Schoettker, F. Joray, G. Savoldelli, S. Mathivon, M. Kleine-Brueggeney, N. Urwyler, C. Riggenbach, L. Theiler

¹University Department of Anesthesiology and Pain Therapy, University Hospital Inselspital and University of Bern, Switzerland ²Anesthesiology Department, University Hospital Center and University of Lausanne, Switzerland

³Division of Anesthesiology, University Hospitals of Geneva and Faculty of Medicine, University of Geneva, Switzerland

Introduction

u

>Video-laryngoscopes (VLS): increasingly used and aggressively marketed.

>Independent evaluation of efficacy and success in managing difficult airways is scarce.

Methods

>With IRB approval (NCT01692535) and written informed consent, we plan to enroll 720 elective surgical patients without predictors for a difficult airway.

>Multicenter, prospective randomized controlled trial at three Swiss University Hospitals.

>After standardized induction of anesthesia, an extrication collar (Stifneck[™] Select Collar; Laerdal, Wappingers Falls, NY) was adjusted to the patient's neck. Operators were attending anesthesiologists who had experience with all VLS studied.

Six VLS evaluated: Three VLS with an integrated guiding channel for intubation, three VLS without channel. Primary outcome was intubation success rate at first attempt within 180 seconds

Results

>352 devices were analyzed so far.

>Except for age, demographic data (including ASA classification and BMI) did not differ between the devices.

>Only the C-MAC[™] and the McGrath[™] reached a first intubation success rate of >90%.

>Except for the A.P.Advance™, all devices reached a first intubation success rate of >75%.

>First attempt success rates were generally higher in devices without a guiding channel for the tracheal tube.

>There were no serious adverse events and no periods of hypoxia during intubation

Conclusions

- ➢ Except for the A.P. Advance™, all devices appear suitable for tracheal intubation in this difficult airway scenario and reach the desirable overall intubation success rate of >90%.
- > The integrated tracheal tube guidance does not seem to offer any advantages over unguided laryngoscopes in the hands of experienced anesthesiologists.

Table: Demographics and outcome data for the six VLS. Data presented as mean ±SD or n (%)

Demographics	Devices without a guiding channel for tracheal intubation			Devices with a guiding channel for tracheal intubation			
	C-MAC™	GlideScope™	McGrath™	Airtraq™	A.P. Advance™	King Vision™	p-value
	n=59	n=59	n=56	n=53	n=66	n=59	
Age	50±18	56 ±14	49 ±17	53 ±19	49 ±15	45 ±16	0.02
Mouth opening with cervical collar, mm	24 ±4	24 ±4	24 ±3	24 ±4	24 ±3	24 ±4	0.99
Outcome							
Success rate at 1st attempt	54 (92)	52 (88)	54 (96)	44 (83)	20 (30)**	46 (78)	< 0.001
Overall success rate	57 (97)	58 (98)	55 (98)	51 (96)	55 (83)**	57 (97)	< 0.001
Time necessary successful attempt, sec.	69 ±37	82 ±40	66 ±32	58 ±34	85 ±47*	76 ±39	0.002
Time necessary overall, sec.	79 ±53	100 ±71	69 ±44	82 ±72	200 ±80**	111 ±83	< 0.001
Percentage of glottic opening visible (POGO)	89 ±15	86 ±24	83 ±18	87 ±16	46 ±39**	80 ±26	< 0.001

* result statistically different to all devices except to GlideScope™ and KingVision™, p<0.05</p> ** result statistically different from all other five devices, p<0.05.</p>

No correction factor for multiple comparisons was applied in these a priori comparisons



intubation

4.Airtrag™ (Prodol Meditec SA, Vizcaya, Spain)



5. A. P. Advance™ (Venner Medical SA, Singapore)

6. Kina Vision™





contact: robert.greif@insel.ch

